

## Master Comments from Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated

Comment ID	Organization Name	Date of Submission	Document Referenced	Section Referenced	Theme of submission or Location /ID# Referenced	Comment	NPC Response
NT-01	Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated	3/14/2014	DNLUP			While the DNLUP is well designed to accommodate the information that will form the bulk of the plan, in its present form, the DNLUP is only loosely connected with the NPC’s Options and Recommendations document. This tenuous connection creates uncertainty about how the information will be represented in the draft’s final form. We would like to better understand how this transition will occur. In this regard, it is unclear how the information collected from the community consultations will be integrated. What weight will be assigned to the data that has been collected? Similarly, the “Land Use Recommendation” areas represent pools of information relevant to land use planning. Both sources of information will be a valuable resource toward developing mitigation measures or guidelines for development and land use and we look forward to learning the final methods that will be employed to further increase the value of the DNLUP.	General comment noted. As per NLCA 11.2.1 outlines principles that guide the development of planning policies, priorities and objectives. The DNLUP has been revised to reflect how the community consultation data is being incorporated into the Land Use Plan. Through the use of Priorities and values it is possible to integrate the feedback collected directly into the design and review of project proposals. The conformity determination process will be automated allowing for ease in identifying the Plan’s requirements.
NT-02	Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated	3/14/2014	DNLUP	Table 1	Land Use Designations	<p>We have identified a number of areas of concern for which we would like to work with your staff to gain clarification. The following Inuit Owned Land subsurface parcels are in one way or another impacted through the NPC’s designations:</p> <p>Group 1 (PSE 2): CD-41, CD-46, GF-16            Group 2 (PSE 3): JO-08, JO-09, SQ-05, SQ-01, PI-07            Group 3 (BHC-10): BI-35            Group 4 (BHC-8): CO-54, CO-62, CO-06, CO-08, AR-16, WC-09, SQ-01            Group 5 (BHC-8 and 9): BI-14            Group 6 (Marble Island): RI-03</p> <p>In addition, a number of surface Inuit Owned Lands parcels are similarly intersected by NPC designation zones and we would like to have the option for consultation to gain clarification on the impacts to these areas as well. A full list is will accompany this letter in email.</p> <p>We anticipate the plan will continue to be refined, evolve and grow as the NPC synthesizes the ever expanding volume of information that they receive from the public and their planning partners. We hope to continue to work together with all parties to ensure that the first instantiation of the plan is a success.</p>	NPC met with NTI on April 10, 2014. NTI was supposed to forward additional information to the NPC. As of June 20, 2014 this information has yet to be received. The land use planning process is intended to be an open public process. If NTI has suggestions or concerns then please provide them in writing for the public record. Future clarification is welcome at the public hearing or through plan amendment post approval.