

From: [huvin huvin](#)
To: [Goump Dialogue](#)
Subject: WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE 2021 DNLUP
Date: October 20, 2021 4:48:00 PM
Attachments: [Hughson NIRB Closing Statement March 2015 - Kiggavik project proposal.pdf](#)
[Keewatin RLUP Implementation of Uranium Terms.pdf](#)
[May 6, 2008 NIRB, NWB abd NWMB lpg-letter to NPC re workshop in BL.pdf](#)
[resolutions_of_support_Hamlets_.pdf](#)
[Uranium - North-Thelon-Claim-Map-2013.pdf](#)
[Uranium - NunavutLandMap Forum Uranium".pdf](#)

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Good Day Goump

My submission has not changed since submitting comments to the Dtaft Land Use Plan - 2012 - 2014 - 2016 and today 2021. As this issue has not yet to been addressed - meaningful and proper community consultation has yet to be addressed as well for this plan. Not just a few hours and take off to another community and then cut a community visit short.

Hence I am resubmitting my comments and background information and email for previous 2016 submission for the 2021 DNLUP plus adding in gerenal meaninful and proper community consultation reagrding the plan and what is at stake pro and cons for all partis.

Thank you,

Paula Kigjugalik Hughson

From: huvin <kigjugalik@hotmail.com>

Sent: June 22, 2015 8:09 AM

To: jsavoy@nunavut.ca <jsavoy@nunavut.ca>

Cc: htotoo@nunavut.ca <htotoo@nunavut.ca>; sehaloak@nunavut.ca <sehaloak@nunavut.ca>; dningeongan@kivalliqinuit.ca <dningeongan@kivalliqinuit.ca>; president@tunngavik.com <president@tunngavik.com>; jtarreak@tunngavik.com <jtarreak@tunngavik.com>

Subject: comments for DRAFT NPC Nunavut land use plan re: URANIUM

Dear Mr. Jonathon Savoy

I am writing these comments in hopes they will be addressed at your upcoming technical meeting. After participating in the NIRB final hearing for the Kiggavik proposed uranium project I did not realize until a couple of weeks ago when I looked at the draft Nunavut Land Use Plan that Uranium is NOT covered in the draft nunavut land use plan as it is covered in the KEEWATIN REGIONAL LAND USE PLAN, I was shocked to not see it NOT in the DRAFT Nunavut land use plan.

Uranium is still a very controversial subject in the region/ territory and I believe the people have not been given a chance to say yes or no to the development of uranium as a resource extraction from the land, ie mining of uranium.

I believe that the Final hearing for the Kiggavik project was not the proper way this Uranium issue should have been handled.

A step was missed, in term 3.5 and 3.6 of the Keewatin Regional Land Use Plan and NPC pushed these terms through without properly consulting the public. People at the hearing were learning as I was that this Kiggavik project was much farther ahead in the regulatory process than we knew. I have been interested in this topic for many years as this is in my back yard so to speak and was shocked to learn how far it got without really consulting the general public. The consultations done in the past leading up to the hearing would not pass as consultation and I felt that the IPGs, NTI, KIA and GN failed to properly consult their constituents. I felt like the IPGs, NTI, KIA and GN treated me like the federal government did to people of the area back in the 60's & 70's when the federal government and resource development companies were looking for minerals and resources without properly consulting the people that live in the area. We have Nunavut because the people said no to the this type of activity and being pushed around and not consulted. The last time the general public had a chance to voice their vote was in 1990 and that was a true guage of what people of the region wanted. This vote was whether the people of Baker Lake wanted Urangashelshaft to look for uranium in the region. This should be done again for the communities of the region, and the territory. Glve the people a chance to say yes or no and not bulldoze this issue without really telling the people what is going on especially for an issue as this.

I have attached 9 documents that I believe should be added as discussion to adding Uranium back in the the DRAFT Nunavut Land Use plan, ie put terms 3.5 and 3.6 of the Keewatin Regional Land Use Plan back into the Draft Nunavut Land Use Plan as we as a people from the region have not been properly consulted or given a chance to say yes or no to uranium development.

Resource development companies should not be left in charge of trying to learn if the general public wants this type of activity in the region. This is the job of our various levels of government (hamlet, gn), IPGs and Inuit organizations as they are suppose to represent the people and be unbiased and represent the people not just one section of the people. The people have not had a chance to say yes or no and we as Inuit should wait until we can play at this game when the majority of the population is at the the same level playing field. ie educated at higher levels. There is no shame in waiting the uranium is not going anywhere and we can invest in our people to be educated at the highest level as possible. There are many resource development jobs and careers future Inuit are missing out on if these projects go ahead. WE can be engineers, doctors, radiation technologists, mechanical engineers to explain tailing pits design, effluent discharge, long term impacts of mining that will happen when the mine closes to name a few. Plus in the communities we still require nurses, doctors, teachers, mechanics, carpenters, plumbers, boiler mechanics, accountants, lawyers, police, and that is just to name a few.....Mining will provide jobs but so will the jobs that have not been filled to date in our own communities. We need to educate our people first and do it a the best and highest standards as possible.

Thank you for this opportunity to give comments on this draft Nunavut land use plan.

Sincerely

Paula Kigjugalik Hughson

- 1) Hughson NIRB Closing statement March 2015 for the Kiggavik project proposal
- 2) a NPC letter showing how terms 3.5 and 3.6 and how they could be implemented or interpreted to be implemented.
- 3) a letter to NPC regarding term 3.6 and how NPC interpreted the implementation of this term.
- 4) NTI IOLs of the Kivalliq region where there are known uranium deposits
- 5) Resolutions from Hamlets and KIA which to NPC suffice term 3.5 (This I just learned about at the NIRB hearing)
- 6) Forum Uranium map showing uranium company claims AREVA, Forum and Cameco in the Kiggavik area <http://www.forumuranium.com/i/maps/North-Thelon-Claim-Map-2013.pdf>
- 7) Forum Uranium map showing AREVA, Forum and Cameco and how the proposed winter and all weather roads for AREVA's Kiggavik project can be used for the other uranium companies. <http://www.forumuranium.com/i/maps/NunavutLandMap.pdf>
- 8) Forum uranium maps showing the NTI interest in the uranium company and the spin off resource royalties that could be obtained.
- 9) Kivalliq Energy - uranium map showing their property south west of Baker Lake. http://kivalliqenergy.com/_resources/property_locations.jpghttp://kivalliqenergy.com/_resources/property_locations.jpg

March 14, 2015 Hughson closing statement

Paula Kigjugalik Hughson

Thank you and welcome Madam Chair, NIRB board, NIRB Staff, Interveners, AREVA, members of the communities of Arviat, Chesterfield Inlet, Coral Harbour, Rankin Inlet, Whale Cove, and Naujaat and thank you to the community of Baker Lake and to our hard working translators for the past 11 days!

The NLCA is what the INUIT of Nunavut have to work with today and is a result of hard working negotiators and as with any negotiation there is a give and take. I thank those who have passed on and to those who are still with us today, THANK YOU!

The NTI Lands department needs policies to for Article 17.1.2 (a) and all its subheadings (I,ii,iii,iv,v), (c) and (d) and NOT just for (b). This is the same for our DIO – KIA. 17.1.2 (b) should not have the majority in decision making in these organizations. I understand it is about the money but some things money will not buy back.

This NIRB Final Hearing I am just only realizing how important it is to participate and be involved in your community. I had an interest in this issue and tried to follow it with daily life events and work and life going on around.

The IPG's have failed us on the moratorium on uranium mining. Especially the NPC by trying to find underhanded ways in lifting the moratorium on uranium mining by manipulating Term 3.5 and 3.6 of the KRLUP.

Implementation of KRLUP regarding the moratorium on Uranium mining in the Kivalliq


- implementation of Term 3.5 and 3.6
- Term 3.5
 - o “Uranium development shall not take place until NPC, NIRB, the NWB and the NWMB have reviewed all of the issues relevant to uranium exploration and mining. Any review of uranium exploration and mining shall pay particular attention to questions concerning health and environmental protection.”
 - o The Uranium workshop held in Baker Lake, June 5-7, 2007, they said at the beginning of the workshop that the purpose was not to make a decision regarding the KRLAP regarding the moratorium on uranium mines in the region until there was public consultation.
 - o Then we learn from NPC minutes meeting #64, June 27, 2007 says this workshop would suffice to implement KRLUP 3.5.
 - o My question is: Did the other IPGs or other regulators invited to this workshop June 5-7, 2007 in Baker Lake, aware that by their attendance at this workshop about Uranium, that their attendance would suffice KRLUP term 3.5?
- Term 3.6
 - o “Any future proposal to mine uranium must be approved by the people of the region”

- A Dec 6, 2006 letter on the NPC web site shows how NPC will implement Terms 3.5 and 3.6 of the KRLUP.
- It mentions the KIA a representative of Inuit of the Region must pass a motion in favour of Uranium development.

In AREVA's Kiggavik project proposal submitted to NIRB in November 2008, Appendix III, contains resolutions from KIA and the hamlets of Arviat, Baker Lake, Chesterfield Inlet, Coral Harbour, Rankin Inlet, Repulse Bay and Whale Cove.

- Was the whole land use conformity and planning and environmental process properly explained at these hamlet council meetings or the KIA meeting when the resolutions were drafted and then passed?
- Did the elected councilors or directors who passed these resolutions realize that by doing so, they were indicating that the people of the region support uranium mining and development and AREVA without even consulting the people of this region?
- I am concerned that some councilors did not, for example the resolution 172/06/12/07 from the Hamlet of BL *"#1 Supports efforts by AREVA resources Canada to inform and consult with organizations and residents of Baker Lake on its plans for this property, "*
-
- The language for the resolutions speak as though Term 3.6 was previously implemented.
- Why would a resource development company be responsible for consulting the people of the region, when it is the responsibility of the Hamlet to learn whether its residents support this development in an unbiased way?
- There is no mechanism for a resource development company to conduct a plebiscite to learn if residents of a hamlet are in support or not of this type of activity.
- The hamlet form of government should be conducting a plebiscite and would be the only way to learn what its residents would like with regards to uranium development in the region. A resource development company has no legal mechanism to learn what the residents of a hamlet want, I believe.
- NPC then passes a conformity for uranium mining in 2009, meaning that the Kivalliq is open for business to uranium mining.
- Which then lead to AREVA submitting their project proposal to NIRB for a NIRB Impact Assessment Process.
- The NIRB Impact Assessment Process first step was the screening stage, then Review stage, which requires a Final Environmental Impact Statement.
- The next step after NIRB makes their decision, is for the FEDERAL GOVERNMENT Minister to make a decision as to whether to approve or reject the application.
- I believe that this project should not have made it to this stage. I believe that the KRLUP was not properly implemented and that a moratorium for uranium mining in the Kivalliq region was lifted without proper consultation to the Nunavut Land Claims Beneficiaries of the Kivalliq by their DIO – KIA and the 7 Hamlet

- councils of the Kivalliq did not properly consult their residents as to whether they were in favour of lifting the moratorium on uranium development & mining.
- If this project proceeds it also sends a message to the Federal Government that INUIT are in agreement and are open for business for Uranium mining on IOL and that Uranium development CAN proceed on CROWN land! This is sending a very dangerous message and we as INUIT have not been properly consulted by our Land Claims Organization NTI or DIO – KIA plus the residents of the 7 Kivalliq hamlets have not been properly consulted.
 - I am opposed to the NIRB file 09MN003 Kiggavik Uranium Mine Project proposal submitted by AREVA Resources Canada Inc project proceeding at this point in time.



May 6, 2008

Via Electronic Mail

Nunavut Planning Commission
P.O. Box 2101
Cambridge Bay NU
X0B 0C0

Attention: Mr. Ron Roach, Chairperson

Dear Mr. Roach:

We are in receipt of the email from your Executive Director, providing or directing, apparently on our behalf, the Uranium Workshop Report to Ministers and many other interested parties.

We were surprised with the correspondence, because, among other things, we had not seen the report; as such it did not come from us; nor did we fully participate at the Workshop--other than giving a very brief overview presentation.

The NIRB, NWB and the NWMB look forward to a continued working relationship on NLCA collaboration initiatives.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lucassie".

Lucassie
Arragutainaq
Chairperson,
NIRB

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Harry Flaherty".

Harry Flaherty
A/Chairman,
NWMB

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Thomas Kabloona".

Thomas Kabloona
Chairman, NWB

cc: NPC Uranium Workshop Report Distribution List

**Kivalliq Inuit Association
Special Board Meeting
January 9 & 10, 2007**

Motion # BM 07-01-15

Re: AREVA Resources Canada Inc.

Be it resolved that: The Kivalliq Inuit Association supports the efforts by AREVA Resources Canada Inc. to enter into the environmental assessment process for advancing the Kiggavik-Sissons uranium property West of Baker Lake. This support is conditional on and subject to all regulatory requirements.

Moved by: Joe Aupaluktuq
Seconded by: Bernard Putulik Sr.

Unanimous

Motion Carried

Subject: Motion 111/2007

1 ***Motion #111/2007***

Moved by: Councillor, Ranahan

Seconded by: Councillor, Kuksuk

Be It Resolved that Arviat Hamlet supports AREVA Resources to proceed to a full environmental review process.

-Carried-

Areva

Resolution 172/06/12/07

Moved by Councillor McLean

Seconded by Councillor Kadjuk

“Whereas, Areva Resources Canada has been re-examining the feasibility of advancing the Kiggavik-Sissions uranium property, west of Baker Lake, and;
Whereas, Areva Resources Canada has opened an office in Baker Lake and proposed establishment of a Baker Lake Liaison Group, and;

Whereas, Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated (NTI) has developed a draft consultation document and draft Uranium policy, and;

Whereas, the Nunavut Planning Commission is planning to conduct information sessions on uranium development, pursuant to requirements of the Kivalliq Regional Land Use Plan;

Be it resolved that the Hamlet of Baker Lake:

1. Supports efforts by Areva resources Canada to inform and consult with organizations and residents of Baker lake on its plans for this property, and
2. supports Areva Resources plans to advance this property, subject to:

*Areva Resources satisfying residents of Baker Lake that any exploration and development activities will meet the highest standards for protection of the environment and human health.

*Areva Resources satisfying the residents of Baker Lake that any exploration and development activities for maximum economic and social benefits to the community.

3. Supports Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated efforts to develop a policy on uranium.

4. Supports Nunavut Planning Commission efforts to clarify the conditions in the Kivalliq Land Use Plan respecting uranium development.” Carried.



CHESTERFIELD INLET, N.U. X0C 0B0

PHONE 898-9951

Resolution # 069/08


Whereas, Areva Resources Canada has been re-examining the feasibility to advancing the Kiggavik-Sissions uranium property, west of Baker Lake;

Be it resolved that the Hamlet of Chesterfield Inlet:

Supports Areva Resources to advance this property, subject to:

- Areva Resources satisfying the residents of the Kivalliq region that any exploration and development activities will meet the highest standards for protection of the environment and human health;
- Areva Resources satisfying the residents of Chesterfield Inlet that any exploration and development activities for maximum economic and social benefits to the community.

"Carried Unanimously"



ᐃᐱᐱᐞᐱᐱᐱᐱ ᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ

ᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ 30

ᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ

X0C 0C0

ᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ: 867 - 925 - 8867

ᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ: 867 - 925 - 8233

ᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱᐱ:

HAMLET OF CORAL HARBOUR

P.O. BOX 30

CORAL HARBOUR, NUNAVUT

X0C 0C0

PHONE: 867 - 925 - 8867

FAX: 867 - 925 - 8233

E-MAIL: munch@qiniq.com

May 8, 2008

Motion No. 122/08

Moved By: Marvin Dion

Seconded By: Joseph Angootealuk

Whereas *Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated* (NTI) has drafted a draft consultation document and draft Uranium Policy, and ;

Whereas the *Nunavut Planning Commission* is planning to conduct information sessions on Uranium development pursuant to requirements of the *Kivalliq Regional Land Use Plan*;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE HAMLET OF CORAL HARBOUR;

1. Supports the efforts by *Areva Resources Canada* (and any other uranium project to consult with stakeholders (Council, organizations, businesses, and residents) on their plans for the property, and
2. Supports *Areva Resources Canada* to move forward with this project ensuring that the highest standards in environmental protection as well as health and safety will be met while maximizing the economic and social benefits to the community.
3. Supports *Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated* efforts to develop a policy on Uranium.
4. Supports *Nunavut Planning Commission* efforts to clarify the conditions in the *Kivalliq Land Use Plan* respecting Uranium development and exploration.
5. Supports the regulatory process that states “**any future proposal to mine Uranium must be approved by the people of the region**”.

Mayor and Hamlet Council of Coral Harbour

Resolution # 526-06

Moved By Councillor Merritt

Seconded By Councillor Kanayok

Be it resolved that council supports efforts by Areva Resources to inform and consult with the residents of Rankin Inlet, and supports Areva Resources plans to advance the Kiggavik/Sissons property provided that any exploration and development activities will provide for maximum economic and social benefits to the residents and community of Rankin Inlet.

“Carried Unanimously”

HAMLET OF REPULSE BAY

"ON THE ARCTIC CIRCLE"

Phone: (867) 462-9952 Fax (867) 462-4411

FAX TRANSMISSION SHEET

TO: John Hodgson

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY: KIA

No of Pages Including Cover:

4

Date: February 28, 2007

Phone No.: 645-2800

Fax No.: 645-2348

Re: Council Resolution

From:


<input type="checkbox"/> Johnny Tagornak, Mayor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grant Scott, SAO saorepulse@qiniq.com
<input type="checkbox"/> Annie Angotingoar, Assistant SAO	<input type="checkbox"/> Elizabeth Kusugak, Finance Officer
<input type="checkbox"/> Roland Tungilik, Foreman	<input type="checkbox"/> Steven Kopak, Finance Officer Trainee
<input type="checkbox"/> Hugh Haqpi, Municipal Liaison Officer	<input type="checkbox"/> Dennis Kaunak, Maintenance
<input type="checkbox"/> Isaki Kidlapik, Acting Recreation Director	<input type="checkbox"/> Donna Tukurdjuk, Lands Officer
<input type="checkbox"/> Cyril Kusugak, DPW	<input type="checkbox"/> Godeliva Putulik, Community Outreach
<input type="checkbox"/> Jessie Nuluk, Post Mistress	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input type="checkbox"/> For Review <input type="checkbox"/> Please Comment <input type="checkbox"/> Please Reply <input type="checkbox"/> FYI	

WARNING:

THE DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING THIS TRANSMISSION CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED FOR SPECIFIC INDIVIDUAL AND PURPOSE. THE INFORMATION IS PRIVATE AND LEGALLY PROTECTED BY LAW. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION OR THE TAKING OF ACTION IN REFERENCE TO THE CONTENTS OF THIS TRANSMISSION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL TO US BY REGULAR MAIL.

Notes/ Comments:

John – this resolution was passed at the Regular Council Meeting held on February 27, 2007

**MUNICIPALITY OF REPULSE BAY**

P.O. BOX 10

REPULSE BAY, NUNAVUT X0C 0H0

TELEPHONE: (867) 462-9952 FAX: (867) 462-4411

February 27, 2007

Resolution No. 045-2007


Moved By: John Kaunak
Seconded By: Richard Angotialuk

WHEREAS *Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporation* (NTI) has developed a draft consultation document and draft *Uranium Policy*, and;

WHEREAS the *Nunavut Planning Commission* is planning to conduct information sessions on Uranium development pursuant to requirements of the *Kivalliq Regional Land Use Plan*;

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE HAMLET OF REPULSE BAY:

1. Supports efforts by *Areva Resources Canada* (and any other Uranium Project) to inform and consult with organizations and residents of Repulse Bay on their plans for the their property, and
2. Supports *Areva Resources Canada* to advance this property subject to: having them satisfy the residents of Repulse Bay that any exploration and development activities will meet the highest standards for protection of the environment and human health, and as well any Project will benefit the residents of Repulse Bay by providing maximum economic and social benefits to the community.
3. Supports *Nunavut Tunngavik Incorporated* efforts to develop a policy on Uranium.
4. Supports *Nunavut Planning Commission* efforts to clarify the conditions in the *Kivalliq Land Use Plan* respecting Uranium development.
5. Supports the regulatory process that states "any future proposal to mine uranium must be approved by the people of the region".



JOHN KAUNAK
RICHARD ANGOTIALUK

[illegible]

ልሊጅ ልሊጅ ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት
 ጋራ በሥራ ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት
 ለሥራ ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት
 ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት ርዕሰ ምክር ቤት


CALA^a-σ^b_{μν}c ΔφΓΔ^bCD^c-ε-e C^dδΔ HΔ^e-L^bδ^c eΔ^fΔ^a-σ:

- [illegible]

[illegible]

HAMLET OF WHALE COVE

POST OFFICE BOX 120
 WHALE COVE, NUNAVUT, X0C 0J0
 Telephone: (867) 896-9961 ~ Fax: (867) 896-9109



Facsimile Cover Sheet

From: []	Percy Kabloona	Mayor
[X]	Clayton Croucher	Senior Administrative Officer
[]	Mary Adjuk	Finance Officer
[]	Guy Enuapik	Works Foreman
[]	Susie Kritterdlik	Sec/Interpreter
[]	Mary Sammurtok	Community Economic Development Officer
[]	Irene Oklaga	Community Lands Officer
[]	Sharron Okalik	Community Liaison Officer
[]	Sheba Arualak	Recreation Co-ordinator
[]	_____	Other

Number of pages to follow: 1 Original to follow: Yes [] no [X]

Date: August 27/07

To: Bary McCallum

Fax Number: (306) 343-4640

Memo: Resolution

HAMLET OF WHALE COVE

POST OFFICE BOX 120
WHALE COVE, NUNAVUT, X0C 0J0
Telephone: (867) 896-8961 ~ Fax: (867) 896-9109



Resolution 175

Whereas , Areva Resources Canada has been re-examining the feasibility to advancing the Kiggavik-Sissions uranium property, west of Baker Lake;

Be it resolved that the Hamlet of Whale Cove:

Supports Areva Resources to advance this property, subject to:

- Areva Resources satisfying the residents of the Kivalliq region that any exploration and development activities will meet the highest standards for protection of the environment and human health;
- * Areva Resources satisfying the residents of Whale Cove that any exploration and development activities for maximum economic and social benefits to the community.

December 6, 2006

Keewatin Regional Land Use Uranium Meeting Implementation of Term 3.5 and 3.6

Implementation of Term 3.5

Term 3.5

"Uranium development shall not take place until the NPC, NIRB, the NWB and the NWMB have reviewed all of the issues relevant to uranium exploration and mining. Any review of uranium exploration and mining shall pay particular attention to questions concerning health and environmental protection."

A Uranium Workshop is planned for Baker Lake the week of February 26 – March 2, 2007. The meeting is for the Nunavut Planning Commission, Nunavut Impact Review Board, Nunavut Water Board and the Nunavut Wildlife Management Board.

The IPGs will be inviting community representatives and specialist in the field of uranium exploration and development to present information and participate in discussions relevant to the social, cultural, economic and environmental aspects of uranium exploration and development. A broad invitation will also be extended to interested parties.

Each IPG will take the information back and incorporate it into their mandated responsibilities.

Kivalliq Hamlets, HTOs and CLARCs will be asked to participate in the workshop and will be provided the information to assist them with the future implementation of Term 3.6.

Implementation of Term 3.6

Term 3.6

"Any future proposal to mine uranium must be approved by the people of the region."


When **any** proposal is received for Uranium development or mining (bulk sampling is the threshold) in order for it to conform to the plan it must be accompanied by approval by the people of the region.


This requirement may be satisfied by the following process: KivIA as the representative of Inuit in the region passes a motion in favour of the Uranium development. Baker Lake plus three or more other communities representing 50% of the remaining population in the remaining Hamlets also pass Hamlet Council Motions in favour of Uranium development and mining.

In other words, this requirement may be satisfied by approval of **all of these**: KivIA, Hamlet of Baker Lake and three or more other communities representing 50% of the remaining population.*

*[How these approvals are coordinated and the process used to satisfy the Term 3.6 which states that “Any future proposal to mine uranium must be approved by the people of the region” is entirely up to the individual Hamlets, for Hamlet Council Motions and the Kivalliq Inuit Association for the KivIA Resolution. If one group holds a plebiscite, another a vote at a community meeting and another simply passes a Motion without consultation is entirely the decision of each group as representatives of the people of the region.]

Regardless of the process used, in order for any application to mine Uranium to pass the Conformity Requirement the application must be accompanied by a KivIA Board Resolution, Baker Lake Hamlet Council Motion and three or more other Hamlet Council Motions from communities representing 50% of the remaining population in favour of the Uranium development and mining.]





FORUM URANIUM CORP.











North Thelon Project Claim Locations


Date: 15/03/2013
 Author: AEW
 Office: FUC
 Drawing:
 Scale: 1:100,000
 Projection: UTM Zone 14 (NAD 83)

0 2.5 5
 kilometres

Project Locations





-  Kivalliq Energy
-  Current Producer
-  Past Producer
-  Uranium Deposit
-  Gold Project
-  Railroads
-  Highway
-  Road
-  Winter Road
-  Paleoproterozoic Basin



NORTH THELON PROJECT - NUNAVUT, CANADA

2010 Drill Targets





© Copyright 2008 ESRI. All rights reserved. Printed on Thu Aug 12 2010 10:02:38 AM.

A detailed map of the study area outlining Inuit Owned Lands in the area. Dark red parcels are subsurface rights and Purple parcels are surface only rights

SOURCE: <http://www.ntilands.com/inuitownedlands/>